

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
NORTHERN DIVISION

KIMBERLY EDWARDS,)
221004,)
Petitioner,)
v.) Civil Action No. 2:09cv496-ID
CYNTHIA S. WHEELER-WHITE, *et al.*,) (WO)
Respondents.)

RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE

This case is before the court on a *pro se* 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition for habeas corpus relief filed by Kimberly Edwards (“Edwards”), an Alabama inmate incarcerated at ADOC Work Relief in Birmingham. By her petition, Edwards appears to challenge her convictions and sentence for four counts of first-degree robbery, which were entered against her in 2002 by the Circuit Court for Jefferson County, Alabama, a state trial court.

DISCUSSION

This court “in the exercise of its discretion and in furtherance of justice” may transfer an application for writ of habeas corpus to “the district court for the district within which the State court was held which convicted” the petitioner. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d). Edwards challenges her convictions and sentence imposed by the Circuit Court for Jefferson County, Alabama. Jefferson County is located within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama. In light of the foregoing, this court concludes

that transfer of this case to such other court for review and disposition is appropriate.¹

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is the RECOMMENDATION of the Magistrate Judge that this case be TRANSFERRED to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d).

It is further

ORDERED that on or before June 16, 2009, the parties may file objections to the Recommendation. Any objections filed must specifically identify the findings in the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation to which a party objects. Frivolous, conclusive or general objections will not be considered by the District Court. The parties are advised that this Recommendation is not a final order of the court and, therefore, it is not appealable.

Failure to file written objections to the proposed findings and advisements in the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation shall bar the party from a de novo determination by the District Court of issues covered in the Recommendation and shall bar the party from attacking on appeal factual findings in the Recommendation accepted or adopted by the District Court except upon grounds of plain error or manifest injustice. *Nettles v.*

¹Edwards also asserts, in cursory fashion, that she is being improperly denied access to early release programs while in prison. In transferring the present case, this court makes no determination with respect to the merits of any of Edwards's claims and deems such matters as more appropriately addressed by the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama. This court also notes that Edwards has submitted a motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* (Doc. No. 2). However, the courts finds that this motion would also more properly be considered by the transferee court.

Wainwright, 677 F.2d 404 (5th Cir. 1982). *See Stein v. Reynolds Securities, Inc.*, 667 F.2d 33 (11th Cir. 1982). *See also Bonner v. City of Prichard*, 661 F.2d 1206 (11th Cir. 1981) (*en banc*), adopting as binding precedent all of the decisions of the former Fifth Circuit handed down prior to the close of business on September 30, 1981.

Done this 3rd day of June, 2009.

/s/Terry F. Moorer
TERRY F. MOORER
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE